Mimosa pudicaShame plant, Sensitive plant			Score
1.01	Is the species highly domesticated?	n	0
1.02	Has the species become naturalised where grown?		
1.03	Does the species have weedy races?		
2.01	Species suited to FL climates (USDA hardiness zones; 0 low, 1 intermediate, 2 high)	2	
2.02	Quality of climate match data (0 low; 1 intermediate; 2 high)	2	
2.03	Broad climate suitability (environmental versatility)	у	1
2.04	Native or naturalized in regions with an average of 11 60 inches of annual precipitation	у	1
2.05	Does the species have a history of repeated introductions outside its natural range?	у	
3.01	Naturalized beyond native range	у	2
3.02	Garden/amenity/disturbance weed	у	2
3.03	Weed of agriculture	у	4
3.04	Environmental weed	n	0
3.05	Congeneric weed	у	2
4.01	Produces spines, thorns or burrs	у	1
4.02	Allelopathic	,	<u> </u>
4.03	Parasitic	n	0
4.04	Unpalatable to grazing animals		
4.05	Toxic to animals	?	
4.06	Host for recognised pests and pathogens		
4.07	Causes allergies or is otherwise toxic to humans	n	0
4.08	Creates a fire hazard in natural ecosystems	у	1
4.09	Is a shade tolerant plant at some stage of its life cycle	у	1
4.10	Grows on infertile soils (oligotrophic, limerock, or excessively draining soils). North &	-	
	Central Zones: infertile soils; South Zone: shallow limerock or Histisols.	?	
4.11	Climbing or smothering growth habit	?	
4.12	Forms dense thickets		
5.01	Aquatic	n	0
5.02	Grass	n	0
5.03	Nitrogen fixing woody plant	у	1
5.04	Geophyte	n	0
6.01	Evidence of substantial reproductive failure in native habitat	n	0
6.02	Produces viable seed	у	1
6.03	Hybridizes naturally	-	
6.04	Self compatible or apomictic		
6.05	Requires specialist pollinators		
6.06	Reproduction by vegetative propagation	n	-1
6.07	Minimum generative time (years)	1	1
7.01	Propagules likely to be dispersed unintentionally (plants growing in heavily trafficked areas)		
7.02	Propagules dispersed intentionally by people	у	1
7.03	Propagules likely to disperse as a produce contaminant	n	-1
7.04	Propagules adapted to wind dispersal	?	<u> </u>
7.05	Propagules water dispersed	у	1
7.06	Propagules bird dispersed	,	•
7.07	Propagules dispersed by other animals (externally)	у	1
7.08	Propagules dispersed by other animals (internally)		<u> </u>

	Risk Assessment Results	His	zh Risk
	Implemented Pacific Second Screening	No	
	Total Score		20
8.05	Effective natural enemies present in U.S.		
8.04	Tolerates, or benefits from, mutilation or cultivation		
8.03	Well controlled by herbicides	у	-1
8.02	Evidence that a persistent propagule bank is formed (>1 yr)		1
8.01	Prolific seed production	у	1

section	# questions answered		satisfy minimum?
Α		11	yes
В		5	yes
С		15	yes
total		31	yes

	Reference	Source data
1.01		no evidence of selection for reduced weediness
1.02		
1.03		
2.01	1. PERAL NAPPFAST Global Plant Hardiness (http://www.nappfast.org/Plant_hardiness/NAPPFAST%20Global %20zones/10- year%20climate/PLANT_HARDINESS_10YR%20lgnd.tif). 2. Horticopia 4.0. 3. USDA, ARS, National Genetic Resources Program. Germplasm Resources Information Network - (GRIN) [Online Database]. National Germplasm Resources Laboratory, Beltsville, Maryland (http://www.ars-grin.gov/cgi-bin/npgs/html/taxon.pl?24405). 4. Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	1. Global plant hardiness zones 8-13 (this is an estimate and difficult to determine since distribution range is so broad). 2. "Hardy range - 9B to 11". 3. "Distributional range: now pantropical, but probable origin in neotropics". 4. "Native of tropical America which has become widespread throughout the tropics of the world. It is now considered to be a serious weed in South America, the Caribbean, parts of West Africa, Mauritius, Sri Lanka, India, and Southeast Asia, including Indonesia and the Philippines, as well as in Papua New Guinea, Hawaii and some islands of the south west Pacific."
2.02		
2.03	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	1. Distribution in the native and cultivated ranges is very widespread, so there are most likely at least 3 climatic groups. 2. "Distributional range: now pantropical, but probable origin in neotropics". 3. "Native of tropical America which has become widespread throughout the tropics of the world. It is now considered to be a serious weed in South America, the Caribbean, parts of West Africa, Mauritius, Sri Lanka, India, and Southeast Asia, including Indonesia and the Philippines, as well as in Papua New Guinea, Hawaii and some islands of the south west Pacific."
2.04	1. Atlapedia Online	1. For Mauritius: average annual precipitation varies from 850
2.05	(http://www.atlapedia.com/online/countries/mauriti.htm). 2. Atlapedia Online (http://www.atlapedia.com/online/countries/srilanka.htm). 3. Microsoft Encarta World Precipitation and Average Rainfall (http://uk.encarta.msn.com/encnet/RefPages/RefMedia.aspx?re fid=461530746&artrefid=761554737&pn=3&sec=-1). 4. Microsoft Encarta World Precipitation and Average Rainfall (http://uk.encarta.msn.com/encnet/RefPages/RefMedia.aspx?re fid=461530746&artrefid=761554737&pn=3&sec=-1). 5. Microsoft Encarta World Precipitation and Average Rainfall (http://uk.encarta.msn.com/encnet/RefPages/RefMedia.aspx?refid=461530746&artrefid=761554737&pn=3&sec=-1). 6. Atlapedia Online (http://www.atlapedia.com/online/countries/papuanew.htm). 1. Holm, Plucknett, Pancho, and Herberger (1977) The World's	Average annual precipitation for the entire country ranges from less than 10 to greater than 80 inches, however most of the country falls into the 20-60 inch range. 4. For Indonesia, average annual precipitation is over 80 inches/year. 5. For the Philippines, average annual precipitation is over 80 inches/year. 6. For Papua New Guinea: average annual precipitation varying
2.05	Worst Weeds: Distribution and Biology. The University Press of Hawaii, Honolulu. 2. Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	was probably introduced to Australia as an ornamental".
3.01	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	"A native of tropical AmericaIt is now considered to be a serious weed in South America, the Caribbean, parts of West Africa, Mauritius, Sri Lanka, India, and Southeast Asia, including Indonesia and the Philippines, as well as in Papua New Guinea, Hawaii, and some islands of the south west Pacific."
		-

3.03	Holm, Plucknett, Pancho, and Herberger (1977) The World's	"It has been reported to be a weed in 22 crops in 38 countriesIt
	Worst Weeds: Distribution and Biology. The University Press of	can also become a pest in tropical pastures where its high plant
	Hawaii, Honolulu.	populations and thorny stems make grazing difficult and often
		deny available forage to the grazing animals."
3.04		no evidence
3.05	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	M. invisa and M. pigra are both considered noxious weeds in
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	Australia.
4.01	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	"Scattered prickles along the internodes"; fruit "edged with
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	prickles".
4.02		
4.03	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	no description of parasitism
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	
4.04		
4.05	1. Burrows and Tyrl (2001) Toxic Plants of North America. Iowa	1. "Although specific reports of intoxication problems caused by
	State University Press, Ames. 2. Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson,	species of Mimosa are lacking, the plants have been found to
	EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of Australia. CSIRO Publishing,	contain several potential toxicants." 2. "Suspected of poisoning
	Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	cattle in Papua New Guinea".
4.06		
4.07	Burrows and Tyrl (2001) Toxic Plants of North America. Iowa	"Although specific reports of intoxication problems caused by
	State University Press, Ames.	species of Mimosa are lacking, the plants have been found to
		contain several potential toxicants." [no evidence of toxicity]
4.08	Holm, Plucknett, Pancho, and Herberger (1977) The World's	"The dried foliage sometimes is a fire hazard".
	Worst Weeds: Distribution and Biology. The University Press of	
	Hawaii, Honolulu.	
4.09	1. Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	1. "It tolerates a considerable degree of shade". 2. "It can stand
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia. 2.	considerable shading".
	Holm, Plucknett, Pancho, and Herberger (1977) The World's	
	Worst Weeds: Distribution and Biology. The University Press of	
	Hawaii, Honolulu.	
4.10	1. USDA, National Resources Conservation Services (NRCS), Soil	1. Histisols occur in the region of origin, but distribution
	Survey Division, World Soil Resources	information is not specific enough to determine if they occur
	(http://soils.usda.gov/use/worldsoils/mapindex/order.html). 2.	concurrently with this species. 2. "It grows on a wide range of
	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	soils".
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	
4.11	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	A low sprawling shrub 15 to 50 cm high.
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	
4.12		
5.01		terrestrial
5.02	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	Fabaceae
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	
5.03	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	"With thin feeding roots bearing rhizobial nodules on the root
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	hairs".
5.04	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	Root "a slightly woody branched taproot to 1 m, with thin
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	feeding roots".
6.01		no evidence
6.02	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	"Reproducing by seed".
6.62	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	
6.03		
6.04		
6.05	Develop MT and Outlibration FO (2004) No. 1. 1. 1.	IIC- gave an acceptation plant accept
6.06	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	"Common sensitive plant reproduces only by seed".
<u> </u>	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	IIII
6.07	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	"Flowering commences about 3 months after germination".
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	

7.01		
7.02	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	"It was probably introduced to Australia as an ornamental".
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	
7.03		no evidence
7.04		
7.05	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	"The one-seeded segmentsmove easily in flowing water,
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	particularly flood waters."
7.06		
7.07	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	"The relatively small pod with its stiff marginal bristles adheres to
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	wool, fur and clothing and may be transported considerable
		distances by these agents."
7.08		
8.01	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	"Common sensitive plant seeds prolifically, a single plant
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	producing from 600 to 700 seeds in a season." [small shrub]
8.02	Holm, Plucknett, Pancho, and Herberger (1977) The World's	"Seeds stored in a laboratory for 19 years gave a germination of
	Worst Weeds: Distribution and Biology. The University Press of	2 percent." [not in soil, but it has a hard seed coat]
	Hawaii, Honolulu.	
8.03	Parsons, WT and Cuthbertson, EG (2001) Noxious Weeds of	"It is susceptible to several herbicides, including dicamba,
	Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.	glyphosate, picloram and triclopyr."
8.04		
8.05		No evidence found.