Infraspecific Taxon:		
Resident Species:		
Requestor Name and Affiliation:		
ITP Completed by:		
Date ITP started:	Date ITP completed:	

INSTRUCTIONS

Either check appropriate response or enter it in the designated space. Attach additional sheets with evidence as necessary using appropriate section numbers.

SUMMARY OF ITP RESULTS
Use Status Assessment
 Resident Species List independently of resident species Compare conclusions to resident species and use the most precautionary conclusions from the two assessments
Use Predictive Tool
Infraspecific Taxon Conclusions
North:
Central:
South:
Resident Species Conclusions (from Status Assessment)
North:
Central:
South:

Note1: If the infraspecific taxon cannot be distinguished in the field from the resident species but it escapes and turns out to be more invasive than the resident species, it is assumed that the Conclusions for the resident species will become more precautionary over time as invasions of the infraspecific taxon are documented as new sites and impacts of the resident species. Because they must match those of the resident species, the Conclusions for the infraspecific taxon will also become more precautionary.

Note2: If the Conclusion is "Use of a predictive tool is recommended" then apply the predictive tool separately to the infraspecific taxon if possible. However, if this is not possible, apply the outcome of the predictive tool from the resident species to the infraspecific taxon.

Section 1

(Only applies to infraspecific taxa that **can** be distinguished in the field from the resident species.)

1.1.	Will botanists / field personnel typically be able to easily distinguish the infraspecific taxon from the resident species or other infraspecific taxa? If no experts are given by requestor, select NO.		
		YES	Provide information below, then Go to question 1.2
		NO	Go to question 1.3
Comm	nents: _		
1.2 .	Is the would NO.)	re evid 1 rever	ence that the infraspecific taxon is likely to regress, revert, or produce hybrids that t to the characteristics of the resident species? (If there is no evidence, the answer is
		YES	<i>Provide information below</i> ; Use the Status Assessment <i>and so indicate on Page 1</i> . For each zone, compare these conclusions to those of the resident species and use the most precautionary conclusions from these two assessments for the infraspecific taxon.
		NO	Use the Status Assessment and select List independently of the resident Species on Page 1.
Comme	nts:		

1.3. Has the resident species been assessed?



- YES Go to question 1.4
- NO Evaluate the resident species with the **Status Assessment** and indicate so on Page 1, then **Go to question 1.4**

1.4. Is the conclusion for the previously assessed, resident species "*Not a problem species; may be recommended*" or "*Use of a predictive tool is recommended*" for all three zones?

YES	Go to question	1.5
-----	----------------	-----

NO Go to Section 2, question 2.1

1.5. Has the infraspecific taxon been in Florida (or in the U.S. if Florida data are not available) for > 10 years for herbaceous species or > 20 years for woody plants (if there is no evidence, then the answer is NO)?

YES Highlight attached distribution records that show presence in Florida before 10 or 20 years ago and enter a conclusion for infraspecific taxon on Page 1 of same per zone as the resident species

NO

Go to question 1.6

1.6. Are there *obvious* characteristics of the infraspecific taxon that make it likely to spread more quickly or have worse ecological impacts than the resident species?

YES Provide evidence below; Use Predictive Tool and indicate

so on Page 1

Examples for a YES answer include:

- Infraspecific taxon produces many more fruit/viable seeds than resident species.
- Infraspecific taxon hybridizes with Federal or Florida-listed Species of Special Concern, Threatened or Endangered plants or commercially-important species.
- Infraspecific taxon has been documented to be a problem elsewhere but the resident species has not been.

NO *Enter a conclusion for infraspecific taxon on Page 1 of* **same per zone as the resident species**

Comments: _____

Section 2

(Only applies to infraspecific taxa that **cannot** be distinguished in the field from the resident species and for which the previously assessed resident species has a conclusion of "*Caution; manage to prevent* escape" or "*Invasive; not recommended*" for at least one zone).

2.1. Is there evidence that the infraspecific taxon is likely to regress, revert, or produce hybrids that would revert to the characteristics of the resident species (if there is no evidence, the answer is NO)?

	YES	<i>Provide evidence below, enter a conclusion for infraspecific taxon on</i> <i>Page 1 of</i> same per zone as the resident species
	NO	Go to question 2.2
Comments: _		

2.2. Is there evidence that the combined characteristics that differ between the infraspecific taxon and the resident species will result in such <u>decreased</u> dispersal and spread compared to the resident species that the infraspecific taxon would be unlikely to become abundant in natural areas? Consider seed or vegetative propagules, spores, vegetative growth, etc. and the mechanism(s) by which the resident species has likely spread (including landscape waste material).

YES Provide evidence below then Go to question 2.3

Comments:

2.3. Is the primary negative ecological impact of the resident species linked to pollen-caused hybridization with natives or commercially important species, or another characteristic (e.g., host of pest/pathogen) that allows negative impacts in natural areas despite no or low spread <u>and</u> this characteristic is present in the infraspecific taxon?



YES Go to Section 3, question 3.1

D NO

Provide evidence below then enter a conclusion of "Not a problem infraspecific taxon; may be recommended"

Comments (If NO, provide evidence by listing the characteristics identified in questions 2.2 and 2.3):

2.4. Is there evidence that the combined characteristics that differ between the infraspecific taxon and the resident species will result in such <u>decreased</u> ecological impacts compared to the resident species that the infraspecific taxon would be unlikely to have negative ecological impacts in natural areas in any zones? If there is insufficient information about which traits in the resident species cause ecological impacts (see the IFAS Assessment of ecological impacts for the resident species), then answer NO.



YES *Provide evidence below, then enter a conclusion of* "Caution; may be recommended but manage to prevent escape"

NO Go to Section 3, question 3.1

Comments:

Section 3

3.1. Does the infraspecific taxon have any characteristics that would shift its response per zone (e.g., changed tolerance to temperature)?

		YES	Provide evidence below then Go to question 3.2
		NO	Enter a conclusion for infraspecific taxon on Page 1 of same per zone as the resident species
Comr	nents: _		
3.2.	Does the shift in response per zone make the infraspecific taxon <u>more</u> likely to survive and cause ecological impacts in zones in which the resident species does not survive?		
		YES	Evaluate in which additional zones the infraspecific taxon would be able to survive compared to the resident species. For these zones, give the infraspecific taxon the most precautionary conclusion that was assigned to any zone of the resident species. For all other zones, the conclusions for the infraspecific taxon must be the same as for the resident species.
		NO	Evaluate in which zones the infraspecific taxon would not be able to survive compared to the resident species. For those zones, the conclusion can be "Caution; manage to prevent escape". For all other zones, the conclusions for the infraspecific taxon must be the same as for the resident species.